

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**ZAHIR BODDY-JOHNSON**

**Petitioner,**

**v.**

**ROBERT GILMORE,  
SUPERINTENDENT, SCI-GREENE, et al.**

**Respondents.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-198**

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 17th day of June 2024, upon careful and independent consideration of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. No. 1], the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Henry S. Perkin [Doc. No. 13], Petitioner's Objections [Doc. No. 27], and all of the filings in this case, and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Petitioner's Objections are **OVERRULED**;
2. The Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED and ADOPTED**;
3. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DENIED** without a hearing;
4. A certificate of appealability will not issue as there is no basis for concluding that "reasonable jurists could debate whether . . . the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further;"<sup>1</sup> and

5. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

**/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe**

**CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.**

---

<sup>1</sup> *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quotation marks and citation omitted).